



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/750,046

12/30/2003

Shailendra Garg

OIC0194US

9148

60975 7590 03/03/2009
CAMPBELL STEPHENSON LLP
11401 CENTURY OAKS TERRACE
BLDG. H, SUITE 250
AUSTIN, TX 78758

EXAMINER

THOMPSON, MICHAEL M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3629

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/03/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/750,046	Applicant(s) GARG ET AL.	
	Examiner Michael M. Thompson	Art Unit 3629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 November 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-6, 8-13, and 15-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chin (WO 01143031).

3. With respect to claim 1, Chin teaches a method in a computer system for representing a class definition, the method comprising defining an opportunity class representing an opportunity (i.e. Page 7, last paragraph; stored in module 8), the opportunity class identifying a set of relationships of the opportunity with a plurality of entities related to the opportunity. Chin further teaches an integration server, (i.e. via page 7 describing the referral system component 4 run by computers 7a-7d.) with the data being received from a source application. (i.e. there are many references to the sponsor corresponding by e-mail, or another interpretation might be the referral system itself on pages 8-11 to include the source application for the referral system in the form of the sponsor login for entering of information on page 21, lines 6-11 for the proposition that the source application is the application that allows for logging in and entering the opportunity into the referral system.) Furthermore, as defined in Applicant's specification, the steps of defining and transforming are considered inherent processes in the Chin prior art since, the entering of data representing an opportunity is considered

Art Unit: 3629

the act of "defining" and the "transformation" is merely a conversion of data from an electronic form in the registers or memories into a stored, transmitted or displayed format. This type of transformation occurs in every instance in which a computer displays, transmits, or stores information from its registries or memories common to the function of all computer devices.

4. **With respect to claim 2**, Chin teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the plurality of entities related to the opportunity includes entities selected from the group consisting of related accounts, related contact parties, one or more related parent opportunities, related sales employees and consultants, activities associated with the opportunity, a related source organization, a related destination partner, and related revenue. In the least, the "sponsor type" on page 9 recites things such as activities associated with an opportunity, related source organization, designation partner or even the activities associated with revenue, such as the case with the reward system.

5. **With respect to claim 3**, Chin teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the opportunity class includes a custom data element for defining one or more custom data fields for the opportunity class. (i.e. Custom data and data fields are taught on page 7 or 9, allowing for storage in the project manager module.)

6. **With respect to claim 4**, Chin teaches the method of claim 3 wherein the one or more custom data fields of the opportunity class are specific to an application. (i.e. page 9 at least)

7. **With respect to claim 5**, Chin teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising: instantiating the opportunity class; and initializing data elements of the instantiated

Art Unit: 3629

opportunity class. It is clear that Chin is using these data elements with respect to the "opportunity class" so that they are used, defined, and executed in a properly functioning referral system.

8. **With respect to claim 6**, Chin teaches the method of claim 5 further comprising, transforming data received from a source application into a common format of the opportunity class; transforming the data from the common format into a target format of a target application; and sending the data in the target format to the target application. It is the examiners position that mapping data is accomplished when, for example, a web browser submits user provided data to a web browser. For example, when a user submits data through an HTTP connection or request, the data is mapped into a data structure on the server. In fact, this is perfect use of XML schema. Therefore, since Chin clearly teaches data structures and elements and further teaches compatible use over the Internet through different interfaces, this function is inherent in any Internet communication including that of Chin. Please refer to claim 1 in defining the "transforming" steps.

9. **With respect to claim 8**, Chin teaches a method for data transformation, the method comprising receiving opportunity data from a source application; and transforming the opportunity data into a common format provided by an opportunity class, wherein the opportunity class identifies a set of relationships of an opportunity with a plurality of entities related to the opportunity. Chin teaches the transformation and storage of the information such that the opportunities are stored and the matching providers are based on the relationship of the opportunity. For example, entities

Art Unit: 3629

interested in a referral for home sales is matched based on similar "opportunity class" and relationship opportunities. It is the examiners position that this claim is merely describing a general matching of a referral. (i.e. the thrust of the Chin reference such as on Page 4.) Please refer to claim 1 when addressing the integration server and "transforming" steps.

With respect to claim 9, Chin teaches the method of claim 8 wherein the plurality of entities related to the opportunity includes entities selected from the group consisting of related accounts, related contact parties, one or more related parent opportunities, related sales employees and consultants, activities associated with the opportunity, a related source organization, a related destination partner, and related revenue. As per claim 9, this claim introduces no substantial limitation over that of claim 2 and is therefore rejected under a similar rational.

10. **With respect to claim 10**, Chin teaches the method of claim 8 wherein the opportunity class includes a custom data element for defining one or more custom data fields for the opportunity class. As per claim 10, this claim introduces no substantial limitation over that of claim 3 and is therefore rejected under a similar rational.

11. **With respect to claim 11**, Chin teaches a machine-readable medium having executable instructions to cause a machine to perform a method comprising, defining an opportunity class representing an opportunity, the opportunity class identifying a set of relationships of the opportunity with a plurality of entities related to the opportunity. As per claim 11, this claim introduces no substantial limitation over that of claim 1 and is therefore rejected under a similar rational.

Art Unit: 3629

12. **With respect to claim 12**, Chin teaches the machine-readable medium of claim 11 wherein the plurality of entities related to the opportunity includes entities selected from the group consisting of related accounts, related contact parties, one or more related parent opportunities, related sales employees and consultants, activities associated with the opportunity, a related source organization, a related destination partner, and related revenue. As per claim 12, this claim introduces no substantial limitation over that of claims 2 and 9 and is therefore rejected under a similar rational. i.e. The Chin reference, in the least, teaches the use of computers on pages 9 and 18.

13. **With respect to claim 13**, Chin teaches the machine-readable medium of claim 11 wherein the opportunity class includes a custom data element for defining one or more custom data fields for the opportunity class. As per claim 13, this claim introduces no substantial limitation over that of claim 3 or 10 and is therefore rejected under a similar rational.

14. **With respect to claim 15**, Chin teaches a machine-readable medium having executable instructions to cause a machine to perform a method comprising, receiving opportunity data from a source application; and transforming the opportunity data into a common format provided by an opportunity class, wherein the opportunity class represents an opportunity and identifies a set of relationships of the opportunity with a plurality of entities related to the opportunity. It is inherent that Chin's computer systems have executable instructions or software to perform the function. As per claim 15, this claim introduces no substantial limitation over that of claim 6 and/or 8 and is therefore rejected under a similar rational.

Art Unit: 3629

15. **With respect to claim 16**, Chin teaches the machine-readable medium of claim 15 wherein the plurality of entities related to the opportunity includes entities selected from the group consisting of related accounts, related contact parties, one or more related parent opportunities, related sales employees and consultants, activities associated with the opportunity, a related source organization, a related destination partner, and related revenue. As per claim 16, this claim introduces no substantial limitation over that of claims 2, 9, or 12 and is therefore rejected under a similar rational.

16. **With respect to claim 17**, Chin teaches the machine-readable medium of claim 15 wherein the opportunity class includes a custom data element for defining one or more custom data fields for the opportunity class. As per claim 17, this claim introduces no substantial limitation over that of claims 3, 10 or 13 and is therefore rejected under a similar rational.

17. **With respect to claim 18**, Chin teaches a system comprising: a memory; and at least on processor coupled to the memory, the processor executing a set of instructions which cause the processor to define an opportunity class representing an opportunity, the opportunity class identifying a set of relationships of the opportunity with a plurality of entities related to the opportunity. As per claim 15, this claim introduces no substantial limitation over that of claim 1 and/or 11 and is therefore rejected under a similar rational. It is inherent that Chin's computer systems have a processor with memory to perform the function. (i.e. The Chin reference, in the least, teaches the use of computers on pages 9 and 18.)

Art Unit: 3629

18. **With respect to claim 19**, Chin teaches the system of claim 18 wherein the plurality of entities related to the opportunity includes entities selected from the group consisting of related accounts, related contact parties, one or more related parent opportunities, related sales employees and consultants, activities associated with the opportunity, a related source organization, a related destination partner, and related revenue. As per claim 19, this claim introduces no substantial limitation over that of claims 2, 9, 12 or 16 and is therefore rejected under a similar rational.

19. **With respect to claim 20**, Chin teaches a system comprising: a memory; and at least on processor coupled to the memory, the processor executing a set of instructions which cause the processor to receive opportunity data from a source application, and transform the opportunity data into a common format provided by an opportunity class, wherein the opportunity class represents an opportunity and identifies a set of relationships of the opportunity with a plurality of entities related to the opportunity. As per claim 20, this claim introduces no substantial limitation over that of claim 6, 8 and/or 15 and is therefore rejected under a similar rational.

20. **With respect to claim 21**, Chin teaches the system of claim 20 wherein the plurality of entities related to the opportunity includes entities selected from the group consisting of related accounts, related contact parties, one or more related parent opportunities, related sales employees and consultants, activities associated with the opportunity, a related source organization, a related destination partner, and related revenue. As per claim 21, this claim introduces no substantial limitation over that of claims 2, 9, 12, 16 or 19 and is therefore rejected under a similar rational.

Art Unit: 3629

21. **With respect to claim 22**, Chin teaches an apparatus for representing a class definition, the apparatus comprising: means for defining an opportunity class representing an opportunity of different types, the opportunity class identifying a set of relationships of the opportunity with a plurality of entities related to the opportunity. As per claim 22, this claim introduces no substantial limitation over that of claims 1, 8 and/or 11 and is therefore rejected under a similar rational. It is the Examiner's position that the structural limitations contained in the means language and defined by Applicant in the response is inherent in all computer systems.

22. **With respect to claim 23**, Chin teaches an apparatus for data transformation, the apparatus comprising: means for receiving opportunity data from a source application; and means for transforming the opportunity data into a common format provided by an opportunity class, wherein the opportunity class represents the opportunity and identifies a set of relationships of the opportunity with a plurality of entities related to the opportunity. As per claim 23, this claim introduces no substantial limitation over that of claim 6, 8, 15 and/or 20 and is therefore rejected under a similar rational. It is the Examiner's position that the structural limitations contained in the means language and defined by Applicant in the response is inherent in all computer systems.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3629

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

23. Claims 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chin (WO 01143031).

24. **With respect to claims 7 and 14**, Chin teaches the method of claim 1 wherein a definition of the opportunity class is represented as an XML schema. The examiner takes Official Notice that XML schema is well known in data structures and its primary purpose is to help information systems share structured data, particularly via the Internet and internet based communication. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to have utilized XML Schema to share structured data.

Response to Arguments

25. Applicant's arguments filed 11/26/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. At the outset, with respect to claims 11-17, applicant's new claims 11-17

Art Unit: 3629

reciting "a machine-readable storage medium" in the preamble, are being interpreted as including statutory subject matter. In light of Applicant's specification, the "machine-readable storage medium" is being interpreted to include "such as, but is not limited to, any type of disk including floppy disks, optical disks, CD-ROMs, and magnetic-optical disks, read-only memories (ROMs), random access memories (RAMS), EPROMs, EEPROMs, magnetic or optical cards, or any type of media suitable for storing electronic instructions, and each coupled to a computer system bus." The language implementing a "signal" on pages 7-8 and 21 stating, "electrical, optical, acoustical or other form of propagated signals (e.g., carrier waves, infrared signals, digital signals, etc.)," is considered a recitation implying that a signal is itself is limited to interpreting the "machine-readable medium" and not "machine-readable *storage* medium."

26. Furthermore, in addressing Applicant's newly amended claims essentially reciting that the "defining is performed at an integration server; and transforming data received from a source application wherein the transforming is performed at the integration server is rejected for the reasons argued supra. In short, it is the Examiner's position that the defining is described in applicant's disclosure as the actual "defining" of the custom data elements that represent the opportunity. (In Applicant's specification at [0023-0024].) For example, defining the parameters or the job such as the type of job, etc. It is the Examiner's position that this is inherent in the process of listing a job in the prior art since each category of the parameters of the job to include compensation will need to be defined for the prospective opportunity seeker. Furthermore, the transforming is defined by the Examiner as being "...terms such as "processing" or

Art Unit: 3629

"computing" or "calculating" or "determining" or "displaying" or the like, refer to the action and processes of a computer system, or similar electronic computing device, that manipulates and transforms data represented as physical (electronic) quantities within the computer system's registers and memories into other data similarly represented as physical quantities within the computer system memories or registers or other such information storage, transmission or display devices." (In Applicant's specification at [0015].) This defines "transformation" of the data from electronic quantities within the computer systems registers or memories into stored, transmission, or displayed information. Similarly it is the Examiner's position that data is inherently transformed from electronic quantities within registers or memories into stored, transmitted or displayed data.

Conclusion

27. The Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art of record, within the body of this action for the convenience of the Applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply. Applicant, in preparing the response, should consider fully the entire reference as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3629

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

28. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael M. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 270-3605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8am-5:30 except Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael M Thompson/
Examiner, Art Unit 3629

/JOHN G WEISS/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3629